arXiv:alg-geom/9612006v1 7 Dec 1996

GEOMETRY OF COHOMOLOGY SUPPORT LOCI FOR LOCAL SYSTEMS I.

Donu Arapura

Contents

Introduction
- I. Preliminaries on local systems
  - 1. Connections
  - 2. Cohomology support loci
- II. Exponentials of Hodge Structures
  - 1. 1-Hodge structures
  - 2. The subtorus theorem
- III. $\mathbb{C}$-Mixed Hodge theory
  - 1. $\mathbb{C}$-mixed Hodge structures
  - 2. $\mathbb{C}$-Hodge complexes
  - 3. Key constructions
- IV. Hodge Decomposition for Higgs Bundles
  - 1. Real analytic log complex
  - 2. Main theorem
- V. Structure of Rank One Loci

References

Introduction.

Let X be a connected topological space which is homotopic to a finite simplicial complex. The fundamental group of X can be studied via its representations, or equivalently in terms of locally constant sheaves on X. Consider the set $H^1(\pi_1(X), GL_n(\mathbb{C}))_{ss}$ of conjugacy classes of all semisimple n dimensional representations. This set has the structure of a (possibly reducible) complex affine algebraic variety. This variety contains some canonically defined subsets (in fact subvarieties): the cohomology support loci defined by

$$\Sigma^k_m(X, GL_n(\mathbb{C})) = \{\rho \in H^1(\pi_1(X), GL_n(\mathbb{C}))_{ss} | \, dim\, H^k(X, (\mathbb{C}^n)_\rho) \geq m\},$$

where $(\mathbb{C}^n)_\rho$ is the locally constant sheaf with monodromy representation ρ. These sets depend only on the homotopy type of X.

The primary goal of this work is to obtain a better geometric understanding of these sets when X is the Zariski open subset of a compact Kähler manifold (such as a smooth quasiprojective variety); these results lead to new restrictions on the

---

Author partially supported by NSF.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

homotopy type of such spaces. In this paper, we will primarily study the rank one case, and leave the higher rank case for the sequel.

Let $\bar{X}$ be a compact Kähler manifold, $D \subset \bar{X}$ a divisor with normal crossings, and $X = \bar{X} - D$. In chapter V, we obtain the basic structure theorem of $\Sigma^k(X) = \Sigma^k_1(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$.

Theorem. *If either* $X = \bar{X}$ *or* $H^1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ *then for each integer* $k \geq 0$*, there exists a finite number of unitary characters* $\rho_i \in H^1(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$*, and holomorphic maps into complex tori (see below)* $f_i \colon X \to T_i$ *such that*

$$\Sigma^k(X) = \bigcup_i \rho_i f_i^* H^1(T_i, \mathbb{C}^*).$$

When X is compact this follows from the work of Green and Lazarsfeld [GL2]. In [A], we outlined an alternative argument using Higgs bundles techniques and later Simpson [S2] gave somewhat sharper results along these lines for X a projective variety. Unfortunately we have not yet overcome all the technical hurdles in the noncompact case, so we have the theorem only under the above restrictive hypothesis. However, using slightly different arguments, we obtain the following generalization of a result of Beauville [B1,B2] without any such restrictions:

Theorem. *There exists a finite number of torsion characters* $\rho_i \in H^1(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$*, unitary characters* ρ'_j *and surjective holomorphic maps onto smooth curves* $f_i \colon X \to C_i$ *such that*

$$\Sigma^1(X) = \bigcup_i \rho_i f_i^* H^1(C_i, \mathbb{C}^*) \cup \bigcup_j \{\rho'_j\}$$

The T_i of the first theorem would correspond to the generalized Albanese varieties of the C_i. The second theorem yields a number of interesting corollaries. For example, it will be shown that the fundamental group of X determines the number of surjective holomorphic maps, with connected fibers, of X onto curves with negative Euler characteristic.

The first theorem is deduced as a corollary of another theorem which shows that $\Sigma^k(X)$ is of exponential Hodge type. This will mean that there are a finite number of sub-mixed Hodge structures $\Lambda_i \subset H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and elements $r_i \in \sqrt{-1}H^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that under the exponential map $\exp \colon H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) \to H^1(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$ we have:

$$\Sigma^k(X) = \bigcup_i \exp(\Lambda_i \otimes \mathbb{C} + r_i).$$

In chapter II, a criterion is given for a subset $\Sigma \subseteq H^1(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$ to be of exponential Hodge type. When X is compact this boils down to 2 conditions: the set should be Zariski closed, and if $\rho \exp(\theta) \in \Sigma$ with $\rho \in H^1(X, U(1))$ and $\theta \in H^0(X, \Omega^1_X)$ then $\rho \exp(t\theta) \in \Sigma$ for all real t close to 1. The Zariski closedness of $\Sigma^k(X)$ (and more generally $\Sigma^k_m(X, Gl_n(\mathbb{C}))$) is relatively straight forward and is dealt with chapter I. The second condition can be checked using the results established in chapters II, III and IV (explained below) which imply that cohomology is invariant under rescaling θ.

Let (V, ∇) be a pair consisting of a holomorphic vector bundle on X with a flat unitary connection. It extends to a pair $(\bar{V}, \bar{\nabla})$ consisting of a vector bundle on $\bar{X}$ and a singular connection in a natural fashion. A (log) Higgs structure on

$\bar{V}$ is a holomorphic map $\theta\colon \bar{V} \to \Omega^1_{\bar{X}}(logD) \otimes \bar{V}$ satisfying $\theta \wedge \theta = 0$. Given a Higgs structure, the graded sheaf $\Omega^{\bullet}_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{V}$ can be made into a complex using either $\bar{\nabla} + \theta$ or just θ as the differential. The operator $\bar{\nabla} + \theta$ defines a new flat (nonunitary) connection on V, so we obtain a locally constant sheaf of flat sections $\mathcal{V}_\theta$ on X. If $\theta \in H^0(\Omega^1_{\bar{X}} \otimes End(\bar{V}))$ is a Higgs field without poles, then we establish isomorphisms of hypercohomology groups:

$$\mathbb{H}^{\bullet}(X, \mathcal{V}_\theta) \cong \mathbb{H}^{\bullet}(\Omega^{\bullet}_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{V}; \bar{\nabla} + \theta) \qquad (1)$$

$$\mathbb{H}^{\bullet}(\Omega^{\bullet}_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{V}; \bar{\nabla} + \theta) \cong \mathbb{H}^{\bullet}(\Omega^{\bullet}_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{V}; \theta) \qquad (2).$$

The last result, which is theorem 2.1 of chapter IV, is closely related to a theorem of Simpson [S1, 2.2]. Simpson's theorem implies that for compact X, an analogue of (2) holds where the left side would be replaced by

$$\mathbb{H}^{\bullet}(\Omega^{\bullet}_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{V}; \bar{\nabla} + \theta + \bar{\theta}).$$

As a corollary of these results, we find that the cohomology of $\mathcal{V}_\theta$ is invariant under the dilation $\theta \mapsto t\theta$. And this is a crucial step in the proof of the above theorems as we have already indicated. It would be reasonable to conjecture that the isomorphism (2) holds even when the Higgs field θ has poles. As evidence for this, we prove in theorem 2.4 of chapter IV that (2) also holds when $\bar{V}$ is a line bundle and θ is of type $(1,1)$.

In the simplest case where X is compact and $V = L$ is a line bundle, (2) can be proved by showing that the spectral sequences associated to the stupid filtrations abutting to either side degenerate at E_2 and the E_2 terms are easily see to coincide. We know of two proofs of these degeneration results. The first proof which uses the $\partial\bar{\partial}$-lemma (compare [GL1, 3.7]) is quite short, however it does not generalize to the "log" setting in any obvious way. The second approach, which is pursued here, is modeled on ideas from mixed Hodge theory. The two spectral sequences are realized as direct summands of a bigger spectral sequence associated to a $\mathbb{C}$-mixed Hodge complex, and the arguments of Deligne [D] can be adapted to prove degeneration. The formalism is worked out in chapter III.

I would like to express my thanks to Hélène Esnault and Eckart Viewheg for their hospitality at Essen where much of the work for the third and fourth chapters was done.

Notation and terminology.

If Λ and A are abelian groups we write $\Lambda_A = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$.

Unfortunately the word "torus" will be used in many different ways in this paper, so let us set forth some conventions to distinguish among them. A *real torus* is a real Lie group isomorphic to a product of circles. A *compact complex torus* is a complex Lie group isomorphic, as a real Lie group, to a product of circles. An *affine torus* is a complex algebraic group isomorphic to a product of $\mathbb{C}^*$'s. A *complex torus* is complex Lie group which is an extension of a compact complex torus by an affine one. Using the exponential map, one can see that any complex torus is a quotient of a complex vector space by a discrete subgroup which contains a basis of the vector space.

Manifolds will be assumed to be connected unless stated otherwise. By a curve, we will mean a compact connected complex curve with finitely many points removed. $\Omega^p_{\bar{X}}$ will denote the sheaf of holomorphic p-forms on a complex manifold, and $\Omega^p_{\bar{X}}(\log D)$ will denote the sheaf of meromorphic p-forms with logarithmic poles along a divisor.

Given a complex $A^\bullet$ with differential d, we occasionally denote the cohomology groups by $H^\bullet(A^\bullet; d)$ when we wish to indicate d.

I. Preliminaries on Local Systems.

1. Connections.

Let X be a smooth manifold and let $\mathcal{E}^*_X$ be the sheaf of C^∞ $\mathbb{C}$-valued forms on it. More generally, if V is a C^∞ complex vector bundle let $\mathcal{E}^p_X(V)$ be the sheaf of C^∞ V-valued p-forms. A connection on V is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear operator

$$\nabla\colon \mathcal{E}^0(V) \to \mathcal{E}^1(V)$$

satisfying the Leibnitz rule: $\nabla(fv) = f\nabla(v) + df \otimes v$. ∇ can be extended to a graded derivation on $\mathcal{E}^*(V)$. It can be shown that ∇^2 is multiplication by a fixed 2-form called the curvature, if it vanishes ∇ is said to be flat or integrable. For example, let V be the trivial line bundle, any 1-form θ determines a connection $d - \theta$ on V with curvature $-d\theta$. When ∇ is integrable, the differential equation $\nabla v = 0, v(x) = v_0$ has a unique solution in any contractible neighborhood of $x \in X$. Global solutions tend to be multivalued, and the multivaluedness is measured by the monodromy.

Let us spell this out when V is a rank n bundle with a flat connection ∇. Choose a Leray covering $\{U_i\}$ of X, that is an open covering such that intersections of a finite number of the given sets are either empty or contractible. We can find a basis of nonzero sections $\lambda_i^1, \ldots \lambda_i^n$ of $V|_{U_i}$ such that $\nabla\lambda_i^\bullet = 0$. Let ρ_{ij} be the change of basis matrix relating $\lambda_i|_{U_{ij}}$ and $\lambda_j|_{U_{ij}}$ on the intersection $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$. Then $\{\rho_{ij}\}$ is a constant Čech 1-cocycle with values in $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$. We will denote the corresponding Čech cohomology class by

$$\mu(V, \nabla) \in H^1(X, Gl_n(\mathbb{C})) \cong Hom(\pi_1(X), Gl_n(\mathbb{C}))/\text{conjugacy}.$$

A straight forward argument shows that:

Lemma 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{E}^1_{cl}(X)$ denote the space of closed 1-forms and let FC denote the set of flat C^∞ connections on the trivial line bundle, then the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{E}^1_{cl}(X) \arrow[r, "\theta\mapsto d-\theta"] \arrow[d, "{[\ ]}"'] & FC \arrow[d, "\mu"'] \\
H^1(X,\mathbb{C}) \arrow[r, "\exp"] & H^1(X,\mathbb{C}^*)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Variant. *The trivial bundle can be replaced by any flat line bundle (L, ∇). Then the corresponding diagram (with ∇ replacing d, the set of flat connections on L replacing FC, and $\mu(L,\nabla)\cdot\exp$ replacing $\exp$) commutes.*

We will be primarily interested in the holomorphic version of all of this. So from now on, we will assume that $\bar{X}$ is a compact complex manifold, $D = \cup D_i \subset \bar{X}$ a divisor with normal crossings and $X = \bar{X} - D$. Let $j\colon X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ denote the inclusion. Let V be a holomorphic vector bundle on X. We will usually use the same symbol for the sheaf of holomorphic sections, although sometimes we will write $O_X(V)$ to avoid confusion. A C^∞ connection ∇ on V is called holomorphic if the derivative, with respect to ∇, of any local holomorphic section is again holomorphic. This is equivalent to requiring that the $(0,1)$ part of the ∇ is just $\bar\partial$. In particular there is at most one holomorphic structure on V for which a given connection is holomorphic. Given a pair (M,∇) consisting of a C^∞ vector bundle and a flat connection, the $(0,1)$ part is automatically integrable and hence defines a compatible holomorphic structure on M.

In general, there are an infinite number of holomorphic extensions $\bar V$ of V to $\bar X$. If V carries a flat holomorphic connection ∇ then there is a unique extension $\bar V$, which we will call the Deligne extension [D1, II 5], such that ∇ extends to a meromorphic connection

$$\bar\nabla \colon \bar V \to \Omega^1_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar V$$

with residues having eigenvalues with real part in $[0,1)$.

Suppose that $(\bar V, \bar\nabla)$ is a pair as above but without any restrictions on the residues. Then $\bar\nabla$ extends to graded derivation of $\Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar V$ with square 0. In particular, we obtain a complex

$$0 \to V \xrightarrow{\bar\nabla} \Omega^1_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes V \xrightarrow{\bar\nabla} \dots .$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{V} = ker\nabla(V \to \Omega^1_X \otimes V)$ is the locally constant sheaf of flat sections on X then the holomorphic Poincaré lemma essentially implies that the restriction of $\Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar V$ to X is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}$. Thus we obtain a morphism (in the derived category of bounded below complexes):

$$\Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar V \to \mathbb{R} j_* \mathcal{V}.$$

Proposition 1.2. *(Deligne* [D1, II 6.10]*) If none of the eigenvalues of the residues of* $\bar\nabla$ *are positive integers then the above map is an isomorphism, consequently*

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{V}) \cong \mathbb{H}^i(\bar X, \Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar V)$$

for all i.

When the above conditions are satisfied then as usual we obtain a Hodge to De Rham spectral sequence:

$$E_1^{pq} = H^q(\bar X, \Omega^p_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar V) \quad \Rightarrow \quad H^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{V}).$$

A unitary flat vector bundle on X is a pair (V,∇) whose monodromy representation lies in

$$im[H^1(X, U_n) \to H^1(X, GL_n(\mathbb{C}))].$$

Theorem 1.3. *(Timmerscheidt* [T]*) If* $\bar X$ *is compact Kähler and* $(\bar V, \bar\nabla)$ *the Deligne extension of unitary flat bundle V then the above spectral sequence degenerates at* E_1.

Saito [Sa1,Sa2] has proved much stronger results using variations of Hodge structures around the same time. Further discussion of all of this will be given in chapter IV.

2. Cohomology Support Loci.

In this section, we will study the cohomology support loci which are sets of representations of the fundamental group such that the associated locally constant sheaves have nonvanishing cohomological cohomology. We will show that these constraints will be expressible as algebraic conditions on the coefficients of representation, so that these sets will turn out to be Zariski closed.

First, let us recall the basic facts from invariant theory that will be needed.

Theorem 2.1. [MF, pp 27-29]. *If G is a reductive group acting algebraically on a complex affine scheme $X = Spec\, R$ of finite type, then the algebra of invariants R^G is finitely generated. Its spectrum $X//G = Spec\, R^G$ is the quotient of X by G in the category of complex affine schemes. The map is $X \to X//G$ is surjective and the image of a closed G invariant set is again closed.*

The last part is not explicitly stated in [MF], so we will indicate its proof. Given a closed invariant set $W_1 \subset X$, let p be a point of the complement of the image of W_1 in its closure. Let W_2 be the fiber over p. Applying [MF, p29 cor.1.2] yields an invariant algebraic function f which is 0 on W_1 and 1 on W_2, but this contradicts the assumption about p. Thus W_1 must have closed image.

Remark. *The quotient $X//G$ will not coincide (as a set) with the set of orbits X/G , unless all the orbits are closed.*

The above result can be applied to construct the so called character variety [LM, S3].

Theorem 2.2. *Let Γ be a finitely generated group. Then the functor $Y \mapsto Hom(\Gamma, Gl_n(H^0(O_Y)))$ is representable by an affine scheme Rep of finite type over $Spec\mathbb{C}$. The group $Gl_n(\mathbb{C})$ acts algebraically on Rep by conjugation, and the complex points of the quotient $H^1(\Gamma, Gl_n(\mathbb{C}))_{ss} = Rep//Gl_n(\mathbb{C})$ correspond to isomorphism classes of semisimple representations of Γ into $Gl_n(\mathbb{C})$.*

We will need a description of the character variety from a Čech point of view. Let X be a connected topological space with a finite Leray cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_0, U_1, \dots U_N\}$. The proof of following result will be given elsewhere.

Theorem 2.3. *The set $Z = Z^1(\mathcal{U}, GL_n(\mathbb{C}))$ of constant Čech 1-cocycles has the the structure of (the complex points of) an affine scheme in a natural fashion. The group $G = Gl_n(\mathbb{C})^{N+1}$ acts algebraically on Z such that two cocycles are cohomologous if and only if they lie in the same G orbit. There is an isomorphism*

$$H^1(X, GL_n(\mathbb{C}))_{ss} \cong Z//G.$$

Given a cocycle $\rho \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, GL_n(\mathbb{C}))$, define the sheaf

$$(\mathbb{C}^n)_\rho = ker[\prod_c j_{c*}\mathbb{C}^n_{U_c} \xrightarrow{R} \prod_{a<b} j_{ab*}\mathbb{C}^n_{U_{ab}}]$$

where $j_a \colon U_a \hookrightarrow X$ etcetera are the inclusions and $R((x_c))_{ab} = x_a|_{U_{ab}} - \rho_{ab}x_b|_{U_{ab}}$. This is a locally constant sheaf of n dimensional vector spaces. The sheaves $(\mathbb{C}^n)_\rho$ and $(\mathbb{C}^n)_{\rho'}$ are isomorphic if and only if ρ and ρ' are cohomologous. Furthermore any rank n locally constant sheaf of vector spaces is isomorphic to one of these special sheaves. Thus $H^1(X, GL_n(\mathbb{C}))$ (respectively $H^1(X, GL_n(\mathbb{C}))_{ss}$) can be viewed as the parameter space for isomorphism classes of (semisimple) rank n locally constant sheaves of vector spaces on X.

Let R denote the coordinate ring of the affine variety $Z^1(\mathcal{U}, GL_n(\mathbb{C}))$. Each cocycle ρ determines a $\mathbb{C}$ algebra homomorphism $ev_\rho\colon R \to \mathbb{C}$. There is a universal cocycle $\{\mathbf{u}_{ij}\} \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, GL_n(R))$ which satisfies $ev_\rho(\mathbf{u}_{ij}) = \rho_{ij}$. If M is an R-module, then we will write $ev_\rho(M)$ for $M \otimes_R \mathbb{C}$ with respect to $ev_\rho\colon R \to \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 2.4. *There exists a finite complex of finitely generated free R-modules $(V^\bullet, \partial)$ such that*

$$H^i(ev_\rho(V^\bullet), ev_\rho(\partial)) \cong H^i(X, (\mathbb{C}^n)_\rho).$$

for all ρ.

Proof. We define

$$V_{i_0,\dots i_m} = \begin{cases} R^n & \text{if } U_{i_0,\dots i_m} \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let

$$V^n = \bigoplus_{i_0 < \dots < i_{m-1}} V_{i_0,\dots i_{m-1}}$$

with the differential of $v = (v_{i_0,\dots i_{m-1}}) \in V$ given by

$$(\partial(v))_{i_0,\dots i_m} = \mathbf{u}_{i_0 i_1} v_{i_1,\dots i_m} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k v_{i_0,\dots \hat{i}_k,\dots i_m}.$$

From the definition of $(\mathbb{C}^n)_\rho$ it is clear that there are canonical isomorphisms $\mathbb{C}^n \cong H^0(U_i, \mathbb{C}^n_\rho)$. Thus we get a chain of isomorphisms (when $U_{i_0,\dots i_m} \neq \emptyset$),

$$ev_\rho(V_{i_0,\dots i_m}) = \mathbb{C}^n \cong H^0(U_{i_0}, \mathbb{C}^n_\rho) \cong H^0(U_{i_0,\dots i_m}, \mathbb{C}^n_\rho)$$

where the last map is just restriction. These maps define an isomorphism between $(ev_\rho(V^\bullet), ev_\rho(\partial))$ and the Čech complex $C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}_\rho)$.

An element $\rho \in H^1(X, GL_n(\mathbb{C}))_{ss}$ determines an isomorphism class of locally constant sheaves with semisimple monodromy. We will denote a representative of this class by $\mathbb{C}_\rho$. Choose integers k, m, n. We define the cohomology support locus:

$$\Sigma^k_m(X, GL_n(\mathbb{C})) = \{\rho \in H^1(X, GL_n(\mathbb{C}))_{ss} \,|\, dim\, H^k(X, (\mathbb{C}^n)_\rho) \geq m\}.$$

We write $\Sigma^k_m(X)$ for $\Sigma^k_m(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$.

Corollary 2.5. *The set $\Sigma^k_m(X, GL_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is Zariski closed in $H^1(X, GL_n(\mathbb{C}))_{ss}$.*

Proof. As a first step, let us show that the set

$$\tilde{\Sigma} = \{\tilde{\rho} \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, GL_n(\mathbb{C})) \,|\, dim\, H^k(X, \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\rho}}) \geq m\}$$

is Zariski closed. From the above proposition, we conclude that $\tilde{\rho}$ is in the above set if and only if

$$rank(ev_{\tilde{\rho}}(\partial^{i-1})) + rank(ev_{\tilde{\rho}}(\partial^i)) \leq rank\, V^i - m.$$

Thus the $\tilde{\Sigma}$ can be described in terms of the vanishing of certain minors of $ev_{\tilde{\rho}}(\partial^{i-1})$ and $ev_{\tilde{\rho}}(\partial^i)$, and is therefore Zariski closed. This set is also $GL_n(\mathbb{C})^{\{0\dots N\}}$ invariant, because $H^k(X, \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\rho}})$ depends only on the isomorphism class of $\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\rho}}$. Therefore the image $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is Zariski closed.

II. EXPONENTIALS OF HODGE STRUCTURES.

Suppose that that X is the complement of divisor in a compact Kähler manifold. Then $H^1(X,\mathbb{Z})$ carries a special kind of mixed Hodge structure that we will refer to as a 1-Hodge structure. The principle aim of this chapter is to characterize subsets of $H^1(X,\mathbb{C}^*) = H^1(X,\mathbb{Z})\otimes\mathbb{C}^*$ which arise as images of sub 1-Hodge structures under the exponential map exp: $H^1(X,\mathbb{C})\to H^1(X,\mathbb{C}^*)$. This result will be applied to cohomology support loci in the last chapter.

1. 1-Hodge Structures.

A 1-*Hodge structure* is the same thing as a mixed Hodge structure of type $\{(0,1),(1,0),(1,1)\}$ [D]. It consists of:

1) a finitely generated abelian group Λ.
2) a subspace $W = W_1 \subseteq \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$.
3) a subspace $F = F^1 \subseteq \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$

such that $W_{\mathbb{C}} = (W_{\mathbb{C}}\cap F)\oplus(W_{\mathbb{C}}\cap\bar{F})$ and $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} = W_{\mathbb{C}} + F$.

The following is elementary.

Lemma 1.1. *There are bijections between the following sets of data:*

A) 1-*Hodge structures,*

B) finitely generated abelian groups Λ *together with a subspace* $W\subseteq\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ *and a bigrading* $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} = H^{01}\oplus H^{10}\oplus H^{11}$ *satisfying* $\bar{H}^{01} = H^{10}$, $\bar{H}^{11} = H^{11}$ *and* $W_{\mathbb{C}} = H^{01}\oplus H^{10}$,

C) finitely generated abelian groups Λ *together with a subspace* $W\subseteq\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$, *a complex structure* J *on* $W_{\mathbb{R}}$ *and a complementary subspace* $H^{11}_{\mathbb{R}}$ *to* $W_{\mathbb{R}}\subseteq\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$, *given by:*

(A → B): $H^{10} = F\cap W_{\mathbb{C}}, \quad H^{01} = \bar{F}\cap W_{\mathbb{C}}, \quad H^{11} = F\cap\bar{F}$

(B → C): J *corresponds to multiplication by* i *under the* $\mathbb{R}$*-isomorphism*

$$W_{\mathbb{R}}\to W_{\mathbb{C}}\to H^{10}$$

and $H^{11}_{\mathbb{R}} = H^{11}\cap\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$.

(C → A): $F = (+i \text{ eigenspace of } J\otimes\mathbb{C}) + H^{11}_{\mathbb{R}}\otimes\mathbb{C}$.

With the obvious notion of morphism (homomorphisms of abelian groups preserving all the structure) the above sets become categories and the bijections induce isomorphisms between them. We shall be primarily interested in the case where the underlying group Λ is torsion free, and this will assumed from now on (unless otherwise indicated).

Example 1.2. *(Hodge-Deligne* [D]*) Let* $\bar{X}$ *be a compact Kähler manifold and* $D\subset\bar{X}$ *a divisor with normal crossings. Let* $X = \bar{X} - D$ *then* $\Lambda = H^1(X,\mathbb{Z})$ *carries a canonical* 1*-Hodge structure.*

By abuse of notation, we will say that a subspace $K\subseteq\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a sub 1-Hodge structure of (L,W,F) if $(L\cap K, W\cap K, F\cap K_{\mathbb{C}})$ is. By virtue of lemma 1, this is equivalent to requiring that $W\cap K_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a complex subspace of $W_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $K_{\mathbb{R}} = (W_{\mathbb{R}}\cap K_{\mathbb{R}}) + (H^{11}_{\mathbb{R}}\cap K_{\mathbb{R}})$. For example W is clearly sub 1-Hodge structure of Λ.

We will show how to associate a torus to any 1-Hodge structure. The construction generalizes that of the Jacobian of a curve and is a special case of the 1-motive of a mixed Hodge structure [D].

Given a 1-Hodge structure Λ, set $\Lambda^* = Hom(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$, $\Lambda^*_A = Hom(\Lambda, A)$ and $F^* = Hom(F, \mathbb{C})$. We can identify Λ^* with a subgroup of F^* via the composition $\Lambda^* \to \Lambda^*_{\mathbb{C}} \to F^*$. The map $\Lambda^*_{\mathbb{R}} \to F^*$ is injective because $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} = F + \bar{F}$, therefore Λ^* is a discrete subgroup. Therefore $J(\Lambda) = F^*/\Lambda^*$ is a Lie group, and in fact a complex torus since Λ^* contains a basis of F^*. J clearly defines a contravariant functor from the category of 1-Hodge structures to the category of complex tori and analytic homomorphisms. The extension of 1-Hodge structures $0 \to W \to \Lambda \to \Lambda/W \to 0$ induces an extension of complex tori $0 \to J(\Lambda/W) \to J(\Lambda) \to J(W) \to 0$. The group $J(W)$ is compact, and is isomorphic as a real Lie group to $W^*_R/(W \cap \Lambda)^*$. $J(\Lambda/W)$ is affine.

If $X, \bar{X}$ and D are as (1.2), then

$$J(H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})) = \frac{H^0(\bar{X}, \Omega^1_{\bar{X}}(log D))^*}{H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})_{tors.free}}$$

is just the (generalized) Albanese variety $Alb(X)$. After fixing a base point $x_0 \in X$, we obtain a holomorphic map, the so called Abel-Jacobi map, $\alpha \colon X \to Alb(X)$ defined by

$$x \mapsto \int_{x_0}^{x} \quad \text{(mod periods)}.$$

2. The subtorus Theorem.

Let Λ be a finitely generated torsion free abelian group. We will denote the homomorphism

$$\exp \otimes 1 \colon \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} \to \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}^*}$$

simply by exp. $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ can be endowed with the structure of an algebraic variety in a very natural way, namely it can be identified with the set of complex points of *spec* $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda^*]$. Its Zariski tangent space at 1 is isomorphic to $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ via the exponential map exp. Thus the tangent space of any subvariety at 1 can be identified with a subspace of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$.

When Λ is a 1-Hodge structure, we will say that a subset of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ is of *exponential Hodge type* if it is a union of a finite number of sets of the form $\exp(T_{\mathbb{C}} + r)$ where $T \subseteq \Lambda$ is a 1-Hodge structure and $r \in i\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$. We will give a criterion for this at the end of this section.

Lemma 2.1. *There is a natural bijection between the set of affine subtori of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ and the set of subspaces of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Proof. Given a subspace $V \subseteq \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$, *spec* $\mathbb{C}[(\Lambda \cap V)^*]$ defines an affine subtorus of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}^*}$. More geometrically this torus is just $\exp(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \subseteq \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}^*}$. Conversely given a subtorus $X \subseteq \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}^*}$, $T_{X,1} \cap \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a subspace. These are inverse processes.

Let $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \ldots R_n$ be a decomposition into real subspaces. A subset of $S \subseteq \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ will be called R_i-stable with respect to the above decomposition provided that if $s = r_1 + \ldots r_n \in S$, with $r_i \in R_i$, then $\epsilon r_i + s \in S$ for all sufficiently small $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. $V \subseteq \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ will be called R_i-stable if $\exp^{-1}(V)$ is.

Lemma 2.2. *Given $v \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, the Zariski closure of the image of $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)v$ under* exp *is an affine subtorus of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}^*}$. In particular this set is independent of ϵ.*

Proof. Let $V(\epsilon) = \exp((-\epsilon, \epsilon)v)$. Clearly $V(\epsilon)^{-1} = V(\epsilon)$ and $V(\epsilon/2).V(\epsilon/2) = V(\epsilon)$. By continuity, the same properties hold for the closures (denoted by $\mathcal{C}\ldots$).

As the Zariski topology is noetherian,

$$\mathcal{C}V(\epsilon/2^n) = \mathcal{C}V(\epsilon/2^{n+1})$$

for some $n \geq 0$. Thus $\mathcal{C}V(\epsilon/2^n)$ is connected subgroup, or in other words an affine subtorus. In particular, as this set is closed under multiplication it must contain

$$V(\epsilon) = V(\epsilon/2^n)^{2^n}.$$

Remark. *By the same reasoning, we see that the Zariski closure of the image of an open ball in a real subspace of* $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ *under* exp *is also an affine subtorus.*

Let us call a decomposition into $\mathbb{R}$-subspaces $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus R_3$ *admissible* provided that $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} = R_1 \oplus \bar{R}_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus iR_2$ and $R_3 \cap iR_3 = 0$. For such a decomposition, we have

$$dim_{\mathbb{R}} R_1 + dim_{\mathbb{R}} R_2 = dim_{\mathbb{R}} R_3 = dim_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Given an admissible decomposition as above, let* $S \subseteq T \subsetneq \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ *be complex subspaces such that* $T = \sum T \cap R_i$, $T \cap (R_1 + R_2) \subseteq S$ *and* $S = \bar{S}$. *Then* $S = T$.

Proof. As

$$T \supseteq (T \cap R_3) \oplus i(T \cap R_3),$$

we obtain $dim_{\mathbb{R}} T/2 \geq dim_{\mathbb{R}} T \cap R_3$. Therefore, since $T = \sum T \cap R_i$, we obtain $dim_{\mathbb{R}} T/2 \leq dim_{\mathbb{R}} T \cap R_1 + dim_{\mathbb{R}} T \cap R_2$. On the other hand the inclusion

$$(T \cap R_1) \oplus \overline{(T \cap R_1)} \oplus (T \cap R_2) \oplus i(T \cap R_2) \subseteq S$$

implies an inequality $dim_{\mathbb{R}} S/2 \geq dim_{\mathbb{R}} T \cap R_1 + dim_{\mathbb{R}} T \cap R_2$. Combining these shows that $dim_{\mathbb{R}} S \geq dim_{\mathbb{R}} T$ which forces equality.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that* $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus R_3$ *is an admissible decomposition. Let* $V \subseteq \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ *be a Zariski closed subset which is* R_1 *and* R_2 *stable. Then any irreducible component of* V *is of the form* $\exp(T + r)$ *where* T *is a rationally defined linear subspace of* $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ *such that* $T = \sum T \cap R_i$, *and* $r \in R_3$. *In particular, each component is a translate of an affine subtorus.*

Proof. Let V' be an irreducible component of V. Choose a smooth point $u \in V'$ not lying on any other component, and let $U = u^{-1}V'$. Let $T \subseteq \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the tangent space to U at 1. Given a vector $v \in T$, decompose it as $v_1 + v_2 + v_3$, where $v_i \in R_i$. Choose a C^∞ curve $\gamma\colon (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\gamma(0) = 0$, $\gamma'(0) = v$ and the image of $\exp \circ \gamma$ lies in U. We can decompose $\gamma(t) = \gamma_1(t) + \gamma_2(t) + \gamma_3(t)$ with respect R_1, R_2 and R_3. By assumption for any $|t| < \epsilon$, $\exp(s_1\gamma_1(t) + s_2\gamma_2(t) + \gamma_3(t)) \in U$ for all s_i close to 1, and therefore for any $s_i \in \mathbb{R}$ by lemma 2.2. This implies that $v_i \in T$, in other words that T is compatible with the decomposition into the sum of R_i. Furthermore, after taking $s_1 = s_2 = 1/t$ above we obtain

$$\exp(v_1 + v_2) = \lim_{t \to 0} \exp(\gamma_1(t)/t + \gamma_2(t)/t + \gamma_3(t)) \in U.$$

That is $\exp(T \cap (R_1 + R_2))$ is contained in U, and its Zariski closure S' is an affine subtorus (by the remark following 2.2). The tangent space S to S' at 1 is a complex subspace of T satisfying the conditions of lemma 2.3. Therefore $S = T$, and consequently U is the subtorus $\exp(T)$.

If we, write $u = \exp(r_1+r_2+r_3)$ with $r_i \in R_i$, then from the preceding discussion $V' = \exp(T + r_3)$.

Suppose that Λ is a 1-Hodge structure then

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} = H^{10} \oplus H^{11}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus i\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$$

is an admissible decomposition.

Corollary 2.5. *Let Λ be a 1-Hodge structure and let $V \subseteq \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ be a Zariski closed subset which is H^{10} and $H^{11}_{\mathbb{R}}$ stable. Then V is of exponential Hodge type.*

Proof. By the theorem any component of V is of the form $\exp(T + r)$ with r imaginary and T a rationally defined subspace satisfying

$$T = (H^{10} \cap T) \oplus (H^{11}_{\mathbb{R}} \cap T) \oplus iT_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Therefore the space

$$S = (H^{10} \cap T) + (H^{01} \cap T) + (H^{11} \cap T)$$

satisfies the conditions of lemma 2.3, and so $T = S$ which implies that T is a sub 1-Hodge structure.

III. $\mathbb{C}$-MIXED HODGE THEORY.

In this chapter we introduce the concept of a $\mathbb{C}$-mixed Hodge structure, which arises from the usual notion by forgetting the underlying real structure. A detailed development of the basic theory will be presented elsewhere. However the essential features of the theory (to the extent required here) will be summarized in the first two sections. The last section contains the key technical results that will be needed in the next chapter.

1. $\mathbb{C}$-Mixed Hodge structures.

We follow the standard convention that a filtration with superscript is decreasing, otherwise it is increasing. Any formula involving decreasing filtrations can be converted to one involving an increasing filtration by setting $W_n = W^{-n}$. A $\mathbb{C}$-*mixed Hodge structure* is a finite dimensional vector space H together with three filtrations $(W_\bullet, F^\bullet, C^\bullet)$ which are opposed in the sense of Deligne [D 1.2], i.e.

$$Gr^p_F Gr^q_C Gr^W_n H = 0$$

when $p + q \neq n$. The terminology is a little nonstandard but seems justified as any mixed Hodge structure can be regarded as $\mathbb{C}$-mixed Hodge structure after forgetting $\mathbb{R}$-structure and setting $C = \bar{F}$. The set of $\mathbb{C}$-mixed Hodge structures becomes a category in the obvious way: morphisms are $\mathbb{C}$-linear maps preserving all the filtrations. Deligne [D, 1.2.10] shows:

Theorem 1.1. *The category of $\mathbb{C}$-mixed Hodge structures is abelian, and the filtrations F, C and W are strictly preserved by morphisms i.e. the functors $Gr_F^p, \ldots$ are exact.*

We say that H is pure of weight n if $Gr_n^W H = H$; this equivalent to statement that the filtrations $F^\bullet$ and $C^\bullet$ are n-opposed. An immediate corollary of the theorem is that a morphism between pure objects of different weights must vanish. Finally observe that if $(F^\bullet, C^\bullet)$ is n-opposed then $(F^{\bullet+a}, C^{\bullet+b})$ is $n-a-b$-opposed

2. $\mathbb{C}$-Hodge Complexes.

We define a $\mathbb{C}$-*Hodge complex* to be a quadruple $(A^\bullet, F^\bullet, C^\bullet, W^\bullet)$ consisting of a bounded below complex of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces $A^\bullet$ with finite dimensional cohomology, together with three biregular filtrations on it such that:

a) For each p, the filtrations on $Gr_W^p A$ induced by F and C are strictly preserved by the differentials.

b) For each p, q, F and C induce q-opposed filtrations on $E_1^{pq}(A, W) = H^{p+q}(Gr_W^p A^\bullet)$.

For example the De Rham complex $A^\bullet$ of a compact Kähler manifold with Hodge filtration F, $C = \bar{F}$ and $Gr_W^0 A = A$ is $\mathbb{C}$-Hodge complex.

The notion of a $\mathbb{C}$-Hodge complex will be globalized. If S is a sheaf on a topological space X, Godement has constructed a canonical flasque resolution $\mathcal{G}^\bullet(S)$ [G]. For example $\mathcal{G}^0(S)$ is the product of stalks of S viewed as sky-scraper sheaves, $\mathcal{G}^1(S)$ is $\mathcal{G}^0$ applied to the cokernel of $S \to \mathcal{G}^0(S)$ and so on. If $S^\bullet$ is a complex of sheaves set $\mathcal{G}^\bullet(S^\bullet)$ to the associated single complex. Then

$\Gamma(\mathcal{G}^\bullet(S^\bullet))$ is a canonical representative of $\mathbb{R}\Gamma S^\bullet$. If $(S^\bullet, F^\bullet)$ is a bounded below complex of sheaves with a biregular filtration then $(\mathcal{G}^\bullet(S^\bullet), \mathcal{G}^\bullet(F^\bullet))$ is a filtered acyclic resolution (see [D 1.4] for the definition). Thus

$$(\Gamma(\mathcal{G}(S)), \Gamma(\mathcal{G}(F))$$

represents the direct image of (S, F) in the sense of filtered derived categories. This can be iterated in the presence of several filtrations to a obtain a multifiltered acyclic resolution, and this leads to a concrete representation for direct images for multifiltered derived categories.

Call a trifiltered complex of sheaves (A, F, C, W) a *cohomological* $\mathbb{C}$-*Hodge complex* provided that A is a bounded below complex of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces, the filtrations are biregular and $(\Gamma(\mathcal{G}(A)), \Gamma(\mathcal{G}(F)), \ldots)$ is $\mathbb{C}$-Hodge complex.

The proofs of the following will be given elsewhere. The first result is an analogue of scholium 8.1.9 of [D] and the second is a slight refinement.

Proposition 2.1. *If (A, F, C, W) is a (cohomological) $\mathbb{C}$-Hodge complex then the spectral sequence*

$$E_1^{pq}(A, W) = H^{p+q}(Gr_W^p A) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(A)$$

$$(resp. \quad E_1^{pq}(A, W) = \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(Gr_W^p A) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(A))$$

degenerates at E_2.

Proposition 2.2. *Let (A, F, C, W) be a (cohomological) $\mathbb{C}$-Hodge complex and (D, V) another bounded below biregularly filtered complex (of sheaves) of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces. Suppose that there is a morphism $(F^k, F^k \cap W) \to (D, V)$ such that the sequence*

$$0 \to F^{k+1} Gr_W^\bullet \to F^k G_W^\bullet \to Gr_V^\bullet D \to 0$$

is exact. Then $E_2(D,V) = E_\infty(D,V)$ *and* $H^*(Gr_F^k A) \cong H^*(D)$ *(resp.* $\mathbb{H}^*(Gr_F^k A) \cong \mathbb{H}^*(D)$*) noncanonically.*

3. Key Constructions.

In this section, we give the basic construction used later on. Let R be a commutative differential graded algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. This means that R is a graded vector space with an associative multiplication which commutes up to sign, and a degree one graded derivation d satisfying $d^2 = 0$. We will assume that R also possesses 3 multiplicative filtrations F_R, C_R, W_R. Suppose that A is a differential graded R-module filtered by a family of three differential graded submodules $F^\bullet, C^\bullet, W^\bullet$ such that $F_R^p F^i \subseteq F^{p+i}$ etcetera. Furthermore, we will assume that (A, F, C, W) is a $\mathbb{C}$-Hodge complex. Our construction depends on a fixed choice of integers a, b, N, with N positive, and two elements $\theta \in F_R^a \cap C_R^0 \cap W^{-a-b+1} R^1$ and $\psi \in W_R^{-a-b+1} R^0$ such that $d\theta = 0$ and $\theta - d\psi \in C^b$. Given all this data, we construct a tri-filtered complex $Cons(A, \theta, \psi)$.

We will first treat the special case where $\psi = 0$, as it is easier and it motivates the general construction. In this case $\theta \in F^a \cap C^b \cap W^{-a-b+1} R^1$. As a graded module $Cons(A, \theta, 0)$ is given by $B^n = (A^n)^{\oplus N}$ The standard relations $\theta \wedge \theta = 0$ and $d(\theta \wedge a) = -\theta \wedge da$ imply that $\delta(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{N-1}) = (da_0, da_1 + \theta \wedge a_0, \dots da_{N-1} + \theta \wedge a_{N-2})$ is a differential on B. In other words, (B, δ) is the single complex associated to the double complex:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \cdots & & \\
A^0 \arrow[r, "\theta\wedge"] \arrow[d, "d"] & A^1 \arrow[r, "\theta\wedge"] \arrow[d, "d"] & \cdots & A^N \arrow[d, "d"] \\
A^1 \arrow[r, "\theta\wedge"] & A^2 \arrow[r, "\theta\wedge"] & \cdots & A^{N+1} \\
 & \cdots & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

or more succinctly

$$B = s(A \xrightarrow{\theta\wedge} A[1] \xrightarrow{\theta\wedge} \dots A[N]).$$

Filter B by

$$\mathcal{F}^p B = s(F^p A \to F^{p+a} A[1] \to \dots F^{p+Na} A[N])$$

$$\mathcal{C}^p B = s(C^p A \to C^{p+b} A[1] \to \dots C^{p+Nb} A[N])$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}^p B = s(W^p A \to W^{p-(a+b)} A[1] \to \dots W^{p-N(a+b)} A[N]).$$

In other words, $(a_0, \dots, a_{N-1}) \in \mathcal{F}$ if and only if $a_i \in F^{p+ia}$ for i, etcetera. $Cons(A, \theta, 0)$ consists of B with these filtrations.

Proposition 3.1. *$Cons(A, \theta, 0)$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-Hodge complex.*

Proof. By construction

$$Gr_{\mathcal{W}}^p B = s(Gr_W^p \xrightarrow{0} Gr_W^{p-(a+b)} \xrightarrow{0} \dots)$$

$$= \bigoplus_{k=0}^{N} Gr_W^{p-k(a+b)} A$$

$$\mathcal{F}^i Gr_{\mathcal{W}}^p B = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{N} F^{i+ka} Gr_W^{p-k(a+b)} A$$

$$\mathcal{C}^i Gr^p_{\mathcal{W}} B = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{N} C^{i+kb} Gr_W^{p-k(a+b)} A$$

Thus $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are strictly preserved by differentials of $Gr^p_{\mathcal{W}} B$. Furthermore

$$(H^{p+q}(Gr^p_{\mathcal{W}} B), \mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{C}^\bullet) \cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^{N} (H^{p+q}(Gr_W^{p-k(a+b)} A), F^{\bullet+ka}, C^{\bullet+kb})$$

is q-opposed.

We now consider the general case where ψ may be nonzero. We can form a complex B with filtrations $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{C}$ as before, however $\mathcal{C}^p$ is no longer a subcomplex. To correct this we define a new filtration $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ by $(a_0, \dots, a_{N-1}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^p$ if and only if for each i,

$$a_i + \psi a_{i-1} + \dots \frac{\psi^i}{i!} a_0 \in C^{p+ib}.$$

Set $Cons(A, \theta, \psi) = (B, \mathcal{F}, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{W})$.

Lemma 3.2. *$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^p$ is a subcomplex.*

Proof. Suppose $(a_0, a_1, \dots) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^p B^n$ then

$$(da_i + \theta \wedge a_{i-1}) + \psi(da_{i-1} + \theta \wedge a_{i-2}) + \dots \frac{\psi^i}{i!} da_0$$

$$= d(a_i + \psi a_{i-1} + \dots \frac{\psi^i}{i!} a_0) + (\theta - d\psi)(a_{i-1} + \dots \frac{\psi^{i-1}}{i-1!} a_0) \in C^{p+ib}$$

because $\theta - d\psi \in C^b$.

Lemma 3.3. *$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^p Gr^k_{\mathcal{W}} B = \mathcal{C}^p Gr^k_{\mathcal{W}} B$.*

Proof. Suppose $(a_0, \dots a_{N-1}) \in \mathcal{W}^k$ then $a_i \in W^{k-i(a+b)}$. As $\psi \in W^{-a-b+1}$, we have

$$\psi a_{i-1} + \dots \frac{\psi^{i-1}}{i-1!} a_0 \in W^{k-i(a+b)+1}.$$

Therefore

$$a_i \in C^{p+ib} + W^{k+1-i(a+b)}$$

if and only if

$$a_i + \psi a_{i-1} + \dots \frac{\psi^{i-1}}{i-1!} a_0 \in C^{p+ib} + W^{k+1-i(a+b)}.$$

Thus

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^p \cap \mathcal{W}^k + \mathcal{W}^{k+1} = \mathcal{C}^p \cap \mathcal{W}^k + \mathcal{W}^{k+1},$$

and the lemma follows by dividing both sides by $\mathcal{W}^{k+1}$.

Proposition 3.4. *$(B, \mathcal{F}, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{W})$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-Hodge complex.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of proposition 3.1. The filtrations $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ satisfy

$$Gr^p_{\mathcal{W}} B = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{N} Gr_W^{p-k(a+b)} A$$

$$\mathcal{F}^i Gr^p_{\mathcal{W}} B = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{N} F^{i+ka} Gr_W^{p-k(a+b)} A$$

By lemma 3.3, $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ induce the same filtration on $Gr^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{W}} B$, therefore

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^i Gr^p_{\mathcal{W}} B = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{N} C^{i+kb} Gr_W^{p-k(a+b)} A.$$

The remainder of the proof is identical to that of proposition 3.1.

We will now consider the case where R is a commutative differential bigraded algebra and A is a differential bigraded R-module. This means that R and A are bigraded with 2 anticommuting differentials d' and d'', respectively of bidegree $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$, which satisfy Leibnitz rules. R becomes a differential graded algebra and A a differential graded module with total grading

$$R^n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} R^{pq}$$

$$A^n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} A^{pq}$$

and differential $d = d' + d''$. For simplicity we will assume that R^{pq} and A^{pq} vanishes for all but finitely many (p,q) and that

$$F_R^k = \bigoplus_{p \geq k} R^{pq}$$

and

$$F^k = \bigoplus_{p \geq k} A^{pq}$$

Let $\theta \in C^0 \cap W^0 \cap R^{10}$, $\phi \in C^0 \cap W^{-1} \cap R^{10}$, and $\psi \in W^{-1} R^{00}$ be elements such that $d\theta = d\phi = 0$ and $\phi - d\psi \in C^1$. Choose $N >> 0$. Then we can construct $\mathbb{C}$-Hodge complexes $\mathcal{A} = Cons(A, \theta, 0)$ with $a = 1$ and $b = 0$, and $\mathcal{B} = Cons(A, \phi, \psi)$ with $a = b = 1$ and the same N. To make this explicit set

$$\mathcal{A}^{pqs} = \mathcal{B}^{pqs} = A^{p+q,s}$$

with $q = 1, 2, \ldots N$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}^n = \mathcal{B}^n = \bigoplus_{p+q+s=n} \mathcal{A}^{pqs}.$$

The filtrations $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ are given by

$$\mathcal{F}^k\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}^k B = \bigoplus_{p\geq k} \mathcal{A}^{pqs}$$

$$\mathcal{W}^k\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus W^{k-q}\mathcal{A}^{pqs}$$

$$\mathcal{W}^k B = \bigoplus W^{k-2q}\mathcal{B}^{pqs}$$

Define a map

$$\sigma \in Hom(\mathcal{B}^\bullet, A^\bullet) = Hom(\mathcal{A}^\bullet, A^\bullet) = \bigoplus Hom(\mathcal{A}^{pqs}, A^{ij})$$

as the sum of identity maps $\mathcal{A}^{pqs} \to A^{p+q,s} = \mathcal{A}^{pqs}$. The map $\sigma\colon \mathcal{A}^\bullet \to A^\bullet$ can be regarded as a map of complexes where A is equipped with the differential $d+\theta$. Similarly $\sigma\colon \mathcal{B}^\bullet \to A^\bullet$ is a map of complexes when A is given $d+\phi$ as its differential. Define new filtrations

$$\mathcal{V}(b)^k A^{ij} = W^{k-(b+1)i}A^{ij}$$

with $b = 0, 1$. Then

$$\sigma(\mathcal{W}^k\mathcal{A}^{0qs}) = \mathcal{V}^k(0)A^{qs}$$

$$\sigma(\mathcal{W}^k\mathcal{A}^{pqs}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^{k+1}(0)A^{p+q,s}$$

when p is positive. Therefore the sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{F}^1 Gr^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}\mathcal{A}^\bullet \to \mathcal{F}^0 Gr^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}\mathcal{A}^\bullet \to Gr_{\mathcal{V}(0)}A^\bullet \to 0$$

is exact. By a similar argument

$$0 \to \mathcal{F}^1 Gr^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}\mathcal{B}^\bullet \to \mathcal{F}^0 Gr^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}\mathcal{B}^\bullet \to Gr_{\mathcal{V}(1)}\mathcal{B}^\bullet \to 0$$

is also exact. Observe that $Gr^0_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ is just A with $d''+\theta$ as differential. Proposition 2.2 implies that

$$H^\bullet(Gr^0_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{A}^\bullet) = H^\bullet(A^\bullet; d''+\theta)$$

and

$$H^\bullet(A^\bullet; d+\theta)$$

are isomorphic. Furthermore the spectral sequences abutting to either group with

$$E_1^{pq} = H^{p+q}(Gr^p_{\mathcal{V}(0)}\mathcal{A})$$

degenerates at E_2. Similarly

$$H^\bullet(A^\bullet; d''+\phi) \cong H^\bullet(A^\bullet; d+\phi),$$

and that the spectral sequence associated to $\mathcal{V}(1)$ degenerates at E_2.

Proposition 3.5. *If* $H^i(A^\bullet; d+\theta+\phi) \neq 0$ *then* $H^i(A^\bullet; d+\phi) \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $\delta = d+\theta+\phi$ or $\delta = \phi$ then there are spectral sequences

$$E_1^{pq}(\delta) = H^{p+q}(Gr^p_{\mathcal{V}(1)}A^\bullet) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(A^\bullet, \delta).$$

The E_1 differential is just the connecting map associated to

$$0 \to Gr^{p+1}_{\mathcal{V}(1)}(A^\bullet, \delta) \to \mathcal{V}(1)^p(A^\bullet, \delta)/\mathcal{V}(1)^{p+2}(A^\bullet, \delta) \to Gr^{p+1}_{\mathcal{V}(1)}(A^\bullet, \delta) \to 0.$$

As $\theta\mathcal{V}(1)^p \subseteq \mathcal{V}(1)^{p+2}$, the exact sequences associated to $\delta = d+\theta+\phi$ or $\delta = \phi$ coincide. Thus the E_2 terms of the two spectral sequences agree. The hypothesis implies that $E_2^{p,i-p}(\phi) = E_2^{p,i-p}(\nabla+\theta+\phi) \neq 0$ for some p. The proposition follows from the equality $E_2(\phi) = E_\infty(\phi)$.

Finally, we will globalize these results. The notation will be almost the same as before, but we will repeat it for clarity. Let $R^{\bullet\bullet}$ be a sheaf of commutative differential bigraded algebras and A a sheaf of differential bigraded R-modules with differentials d' and d'' of types $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ respectively, and total differential $d = d' + d''$. We will assume that R^{pq} and A^{pq} vanish for all but finitely many (p,q). Define

$$F_R^k = \bigoplus_{p\geq k} R^{pq}$$

and

$$F^k = \bigoplus_{p\geq k} A^{pq}.$$

Let C_R, W_R be additional multiplicative filtrations on R. Let C, W be compatible filtrations on A (i.e. $C_R^i C^j \subseteq C^{i+j}$ etc.) such that (A, F, C, W) is a cohomological $\mathbb{C}$-Hodge complex.

Theorem 3.6. *Let* $\theta \in H^0(C^0 \cap W^0 \cap R^{10})$, $\phi \in H^0(C^0 \cap W^{-1} \cap R^{10})$ *and* $\psi \in H^0(W^{-1}R^{00})$ *be elements such that* $d\theta = d\phi = 0$ *and* $\phi - d\psi = 0$. *Then*

1)

$$\mathbb{H}^\bullet(A^\bullet; d+\theta) \cong \mathbb{H}^\bullet(A^\bullet; d''+\theta),$$

and furthermore the spectral sequences converging to either hypercohomology group associated to $\mathcal{V}(0)$ *degenerates at* E_2.

2)

$$\mathbb{H}^\bullet(A^\bullet; d+\phi) \cong \mathbb{H}^\bullet(A^\bullet d''+\phi),$$

and furthermore the spectral sequences converging to either hypercohomology group associated to $\mathcal{V}(1)$ *degenerates at* E_2.

3) If $\mathbb{H}^i(A^\bullet; d+\theta+\phi) \neq 0$ *then* $\mathbb{H}^i(A^\bullet; d+\phi) \neq 0$.

IV. Hodge Decomposition for Higgs Bundles.

In this chapter, we will establish the quasi-isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{H}^\bullet(\Omega^\bullet_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{V}; \nabla + \theta) \cong \mathbb{H}^\bullet(\Omega^\bullet_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{V}; \theta)$$

stated in the introduction. This should be viewed as a strong generalization of the classical Hodge decomposition which corresponds to the case where $(V, \nabla, \theta, D) = (O_X, d, 0, \emptyset)$.

1. Real Analytic Log Complex.

Throughout this chapter, let $\bar{X}$ denote a compact Kähler manifold, $D \subset \bar{X}$ a reduced divisor with normal crossings, and $X = \bar{X} - D$. Let $j\colon X = \bar{X} - D \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ be the inclusion. The complex $\Omega^\bullet_{\bar{X}}(logD)$ is filtered by the stupid filtration F and the weight filtration

$$W_k\Omega^i_{\bar{X}}(logD) = \Omega^{i-k}_{\bar{X}} \wedge \Omega^k_{\bar{X}}(logD).$$

Navarro Aznar [N, sect. 8] has defined a related complex $A^\bullet_{\bar{X}}(logD)$ consisting of differentials which are real analytic on $X - D$ with certain allowable singularities along D. $A^\bullet_{\bar{X}}(logD)$ is the algebra over the ring of real analytic functions generated by the real analytic differentials and $\log|f|, Re\, df/f, Im\, df/f$ for any (local) holomorphic function f vanishing along a component of D. The useful feature of this complex is that it stable under complex conjugation. It has a bigrading

$$A^n_{\bar{X}}(logD) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} A^{p,q}_{\bar{X}}(logD)$$

by (p,q) type, and as usual the exterior derivative d breaks up into a sum of operators $\partial + \bar{\partial}$ of degrees $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ respectively. It has a multiplicative weight filtration defined by

$$W_kA^m_{\bar{X}}(\log D) = im(A^k_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \wedge A^{m-k}_{\bar{X}} \to A^m_{\bar{X}}(\log D))$$

where $A^\bullet_{\bar{X}}$ is the complex of real analytic forms. There is a residue map:

$$Res_k\colon W_kA^m_{\bar{X}}(logD) \to A^{m-k}_{D^k}$$

where D^k is the disjoint union of k-fold intersections of components of D. Suppose z_i is a system of local coordinates such that D is defined by the vanishing of the product of the first d coordinates. If I is the finite set of integers consisting of $i_1 < i_2 < \dots i_n$, let us write

$$dz_I/z_I = dz_{i_1}/z_{i_1} \wedge \dots dz_{i_n}/z_{i_n}.$$

The residue map is determined by the rules: Res_k is additive, if ω is a product of an analytic differential α with dz_I/z_I and $d\bar{z}_J/\bar{z}_J$ with $I \cap J = \emptyset$ and $card(I \cup J) = k$ then $Res_k(\omega)$ is $\pm\alpha|_{\{\prod z_i \prod z_j = 0\}}$, otherwise if ω is sum of terms which not of the previous type then $Res_k(\omega) = 0$. See [N p45] for the precise rule concerning signs which is given as an explicit function $\varepsilon(I,J)$.

Suppose that $D = T + N$, then we can define a partial residue:

$$Res'_k\colon W_kA^m_{\bar{X}}(logD) \to A^{m-k}_{T^k}(logN \cap T^k),$$

by modifying the above rule. Suppose T is locally defined by $z_1 \dots z_t = 0$. If ω is a product of differential forms α, dz_I/z_I and $d\bar{z}_J/\bar{z}_J$, where α has no singularities along T and I, J are disjoint subsets of $\{1, \dots t\}$ such that $card(I \cup J) = k$, then

$$Res'_k(\omega) = \varepsilon(I,J)\alpha|_{\{\prod z_i \prod z_j = 0\}}.$$

Let $(\bar{L}, \bar{\nabla})$ be the Deligne extension of a line bundle with a unitary flat connection as in section 1 of chapter I . Let T be the union of components D about which ∇ has trivial monodromy, and let $N = D - T$. The connection ∇ extends to graded derivation of $A^{\bullet}_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}$ such that $\nabla^2 = 0$. The $(0,1)$ part of ∇ is just the Cauchy-Riemann operator $\bar{\partial}$, we define $\partial = \nabla - \bar{\partial}$. Thus we obtain a double complex $A^{\bullet\bullet}_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}$. We define a new weight filtration by

$$W_k(A^m_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}) = image(A^{m-k}_{\bar{X}}(\log N) \wedge A^k_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L} \to A^m_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L})$$

We define a residue map

$$Res_k \colon W_k(A^m_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}) \to A^{m-k}_{T^k}(\log N \cap T^k) \otimes \bar{L}|_{T^k}$$

by

$$Res_k(\alpha \otimes \lambda) = Res'(\alpha) \otimes \lambda|_{T^k}.$$

We define additional filtrations

$$F^p A^{\bullet}_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L} = \bigoplus_{p' \geq p} A^{p,q}_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}$$

$$\bar{F}^q A^{\bullet}_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L} = \bigoplus_{q' \geq q} A^{p,q}_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}$$

The following properties are immediate from the definition:

a) Res commutes with d and with $\omega \mapsto \theta \wedge \omega$ for any analytic form θ.

b) If we write $F^p W_k = F^p \cap W_k$ then

$$Res_k(F^p W_k(A^{\bullet}_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L})) \subseteq F^{p-k} A^{\bullet}_{T^k}(\log N \cap T^k) \otimes \bar{L}[-k],$$

and a similar formula holds for $\bar{F}^p$.

All of this can be carried out for higher rank bundles at the expense of more notation. Let $(\bar{V}, \bar{\nabla})$ be the Deligne extension of a holomorphic vector bundle with unitary flat connection. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the corresponding unitary local system on X. On $D^k - D^{k+1}$ there are unitary flat bundles (V_k, ∇_k) corresponding to the local systems

$$\mathcal{V}_k = j_{k*}\mathcal{V}|_{D^k - D^{k+1}},$$

where $j_k \colon D^k - D^{k+1} \hookrightarrow D^k$ is the inclusion. Furthermore V_k is a subbundle of the restriction of $\bar{V}$ to $D^k - D^{k+1}$ [T]. The double complex $A^{\bullet\bullet}_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{V}$ can be constructed with filtrations F and $\bar{F}$ as above. The definition of the weight filtration is more involved. The basic idea is that since $\mathcal{V}$ is unitary, the local monodromy around D can be diagonalized. Therefore $(\bar{V}, \bar{\nabla})$ is locally isomorphic to a direct sum of unitary flat line bundles. There is one and only one way to choose a filtration

$$W_k(A^{\bullet}_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{V})$$

so as to coincide locally with the direct sum of the W_k's for each line bundle. In a similar fashion a residue map

$$Res_k \colon W_k(A^m_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{V}) \to W_0(A^{m-k}_{D^k}(logD^{k+1}) \otimes \bar{V}_k)$$

can be defined so that the above properties hold.

The complex of holomorphic differentials is a subcomplex of $A^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar L$ via

$$\Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V = ker(\bar\partial\colon A^{\bullet,0}_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V \to A^{\bullet,1}_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V).$$

The filtrations W andf F restrict to this subcomplex. Note that F restricts to the stupid filtration, and

$$W_k\Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V = W_k\cap \Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V$$

is the weight filtration defined in [T].

Theorem 1.1. *The bifiltered complexes* $(A^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V, W, F)$ *and* $(\Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V, W, F)$ *are bifiltered quasiisomorphic.*

This was proved by Navarro Aznar [N, 8.8] when V is a trivial line bundle, and the general case goes through with only a few modifications which we will briefly indicate. The main point is to prove the exactness of

$$0\to W_k(\Omega^p_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V)\to W_k(A^{p,0}_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V)\xrightarrow{\bar\partial} W_k(A^{p,1}_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V)\ldots .$$

First we have to set up the notation. The problem is local, so we can replace $\bar X$ by small coordinate neighbourhood of a point 0. We can also assume that $(\bar V,\bar\nabla)$ is a sum of flat line bundles. We may further reduce to the situation where $\bar V$ is itself a line bundle, which can be assumed to be trivial (although ∇ need not be). We decompose subdivisors $D = N+T$ as above. Let z_i be a system of local coordinates such that $N = \{z_1\ldots z_n = 0\}$ and $T = \{z_{n+1}\ldots z_t = 0\}$. To avoid a conflict of notation, we will let

$$W'_k A^m_{\bar X}(\log D) = im(A^k_{\bar X}(\log D)\wedge A^{m-k}_{\bar X}\to A^m_{\bar X}(\log D))$$
$$W_k A^m_{\bar X}(\log D) = im(A^k_{\bar X}(\log D)\wedge A^{m-k}_{\bar X}(\log N)\to A^m_{\bar X}(\log D)).$$

Note that W' is denoted by W in [N]. Let us call a differential form basic, if it can expressed as

$$\alpha\wedge\prod_l(\log|z_l|)^{k_l}\frac{dz_I}{z_I}\wedge\frac{d\bar z_J}{\bar z_J}$$

with α analytic and not divisible by $z_i,\quad i\in I$ or $\bar z_j,\quad j\in J$. Define its lth weight of the above form as

$$\begin{cases} k_l & \text{if } l\notin I\cup J\\ k_l+1 & \text{if } l\in I\cup J - I\cap J\\ k_l+2 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

Define the T-weight of a basic form to be the sum of lth weights with $l\in\{n+1,\ldots t\}$ By assumption the elements in $A^k_{\bar X}(\log D)$ are sums of finitely many basic forms. Furthermore a form lies in W_k if and only if it is a sum of basic forms with T-weight at most k. A simple calculation shows that if β is basic then $\bar\partial\beta$ is either zero or a sum of basic forms with the same ith weight as β.

Suppose that $q>0$ and that

$$\alpha\in W_kA^{pq}_{\bar X}(\log D)\subseteq W'_{k+n}A^{pq}_{\bar X}(\log D)$$

satisfies $\bar\partial\alpha = 0$. Then Navarro Aznar [N] shows that $\alpha = \bar\partial\beta$ with $\beta\in W'_{k+n}A^{pq}_{\bar X}(\log D)$. Let β' be the sum of basic components of β which lie in W_k. Then $\beta'' = \beta-\beta'$ is a sum of basic forms with T-weight greater than k. Therefore $\bar\partial\beta'' = 0$. Thus $\alpha = \bar\partial\beta'$.

Proposition 1.2. *There are quasiisomorphisms induced by the residue map*

$$Res\colon Gr_k^W(A^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V)\cong W_0(A^\bullet_{D^k}(\log D^{k+1})\otimes \bar V_k)[-k],$$

$$Res(F^pGr_k^W(A^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V)\cong F^{p-k}W_0(A^\bullet_{D^k}(\log D^{k+1})\otimes \bar V_k)[-k],$$

$$Res(\bar F^pGr_k^W(A^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V)\cong \bar F^{p-k}W_0(A^\bullet_{D^k}(\log D^{k+1})\otimes \bar V_k)[-k].$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the second isomophism, since the first is a special case and the third follows by conjugation. We will prove the equivalent statement

$$Res\colon Gr_F^pGr_k^W(A^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V)\cong Gr_F^{p-k}W_0A^\bullet_{D^k}(\log D^{k+1})\otimes \bar V|_k[-k].$$

By theorem 1.1 it suffices to establish that Res induces an isomorphism

$$Gr^k_W(\Omega^p_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V)\cong W_0(\Omega^{p-k}_{D^k}(\log D^{k+1})\otimes \bar V_k),$$

but this is proved by Timmerscheidt [T, 1.7].

Finally, a straight forward modification of the arguments of Timmerscheidt [T, T1] shows that:

Theorem 1.3. $(A^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V, F, \bar F, W)$ *is a cohomological* $\mathbb{C}$*-Hodge complex*

The idea is as follows. By theorem 1.1 and work of Timmerscheidt, the ith cohomology of $W_0(A^\bullet_{D^k}(\log D^{k+1})\otimes \bar V_k)$ is isomorphic to the space $H^i_{(2)}(D^k, V_k)$ of harmonic V-valued i-forms satisfying L^2 growth conditions with respect to a suitable Kähler metric on $D^k - D^{k+1}$ [Z, sect 3]. The Kähler identities imply a decomposition into (p,q) type

$$H^i_{(2)}(D^k, V_k)=\oplus_{p+q=i}H^{pq}_{(2)}(D^k, V_k)$$

and

$$H^{pq}_{(2)}(D^k, V_k^*)=\bar H^{qp}_{(2)}(D^k, V_k).$$

Furthermore, under the above isomorphism

$$H^i(F^mW_0(A^\bullet_{D^k}(\log D^{k+1})\otimes \bar V_k))\cong \oplus_{p\geq m}H^{p,i-p}_{(2)}(D^k, V_k).$$

As V carries a unitary metric, we can identify V^* with the complex conjugate of V, therefore

$$H^i(\bar F^mW_0(A^\bullet_{D^k}(\log D^{k+1})\otimes \bar V_k))\cong \oplus_{q\geq m}H^{i-q,q}_{(2)}(D^k, V_k).$$

Thus F and $\bar F$ are strict and induce i-opposed filtrations. This together with proposition 1.2 concludes the argument.

2. Main theorem.

Let $(\bar V, \bar\nabla)$ be the Deligne extension of a unitary flat vector bundle on X. A section

$$\theta\in H^0(\Omega^1_{\bar X}(logD)\otimes End(\bar V))$$

is called a Higgs field if $\theta\wedge\theta$ viewed as a section of $\Omega^2_{\bar X}(logD)\otimes End(\bar V)$ vanishes. Given a Higgs field, the graded sheaf $\Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V$ becomes a complex with differential $\xi\mapsto\theta\wedge\xi$. θ is closed with respect to the induced unitary connection on $End(\bar V)$ by theorem 1.3 of chapter I. Therefore $\xi\mapsto(\bar\nabla+\theta\wedge)\xi$ gives a second differential on $\Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D)\otimes \bar V$

Theorem 2.1. *If*

$$\theta \in H^0(\Omega^1_{\bar X} \otimes End(\bar V))$$

is a holomorphic Higgs field then there are noncanonical isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{H}^*(\Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar V; \theta) \cong \mathbb{H}^*(\Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar V; \bar\nabla + \theta)$$

Proof. This will follow from the results of section 3 of chapter III. Let $R^{\bullet\bullet}$ be the subalgebra of $A^{\bullet\bullet}_{\bar X}(logD) \otimes End(\bar V)$ generated by $A^{\bullet\bullet}_{\bar X}(logD) \otimes Id$ and $1 \otimes \theta$. This is a commutative differential bigraded algebra. Set $A^{\bullet\bullet} = A^{\bullet\bullet}_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar V$, $d' = \partial$ and $d'' = \bar\partial$. The first part of theorem of 3.6 of the indicated section implies that

$$\mathbb{H}^\bullet(A^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar V; \bar\nabla + \theta) \cong \mathbb{H}^\bullet(A^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar V; \bar\partial + \theta).$$

Theorem 1.1 of this chapter implies that

$$(\Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar V; \bar\nabla + \theta) \hookrightarrow (A^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar V; \bar\nabla + \theta)$$

and

$$(\Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar V; \theta) \hookrightarrow (A^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar V; \bar\partial + \theta)$$

are quasi-isomorphisms. So the theorem is proved.

Corollary 2.2. *If $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ then*

$$\mathbb{H}^*(\Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar V; \bar\nabla + \theta) \cong \mathbb{H}^*(\Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar V; \bar\nabla + t\theta)$$

It would be very interesting to allow the Higgs field to have poles. We will take a small step in this direction which will be needed in the next section. Let

$$\Phi \in H^{11}(X, \mathbb{C}) = F^1 \cap \bar F^1 H^1(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

Then there exist differential forms $\phi, \phi' \in F^1 H^0(\Omega^1_{\bar X}(\log D))$ such ϕ and $\bar\phi'$ both represent Φ.

Lemma 2.3. *There exists $\psi \in H^0(W_1 A^{00}_{\bar X}(logD))$ such that $d\psi = \phi - \bar\phi'$.*

Proof. As $H^*(X) = H^*(A^\bullet_{\bar X}(logD))$, $\phi - \bar\phi' = d\psi$ for some $\psi \in H^0(A^{00}_{\bar X}(logD))$. Let z_i be local coordinates such that D is defined by $z_1 ... z_d = 0$. Then ψ is a sum of nonzero terms of the form

$$f_{k_1 \ldots k_d} \prod (\log |z_i|)^{k_i}$$

with $f_{k_1 \ldots k_d}$ analytic. The sum of derivatives of these terms lies in W_1 if and only if $\sum k_i \le 1$, which implies that $\psi \in W_1$.

Theorem 2.4. *If $(\bar L, \bar\nabla)$ is the Deligne extension of a unitary flat line bundle on X, there is a noncanonical isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{H}^*(\Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar L; \phi) \cong \mathbb{H}^*(\Omega^\bullet_{\bar X}(\log D) \otimes \bar L; \bar\nabla + \phi).$$

If θ is a holomorphic 1-form on $\bar{X}$ such that $\mathbb{H}^i(\Omega^\bullet_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}, \bar{\nabla} + \theta + \phi) \neq 0$ then $\mathbb{H}^i(\Omega^\bullet_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}, \phi) \neq 0$.

Proof. Set $R^{\bullet\bullet} = A^{\bullet\bullet}_{\bar{X}}(logD)$ $A^{\bullet\bullet} = A^{\bullet\bullet}_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}$, $d' = \partial$ and $d'' = \bar{\partial}$. Theorem of 3.6 of chapter III implies that

$$\mathbb{H}^\bullet(A^\bullet_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}; \bar{\nabla} + \phi) \cong \mathbb{H}^\bullet(A^\bullet_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}; \bar{\partial} + \phi).$$

It follows from theorem 1.1 that

$$(\Omega^\bullet_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}; \bar{\nabla} + \phi) \hookrightarrow (A^\bullet_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}; \bar{\nabla} + \phi)$$

and

$$(\Omega^\bullet_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}; \phi) \hookrightarrow (A^\bullet_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}; \bar{\partial} + \phi)$$

are quasi-isomorphisms. This implies the first part of the theorem, and the second part is similar.

Corollary 2.5. *If $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ then*

$$\mathbb{H}^*(\Omega^\bullet_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}; \bar{\nabla} + \phi) \cong \mathbb{H}^*(\Omega^\bullet_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}; \bar{\nabla} + t\phi).$$

V. Structure of Rank One Loci.

Let $\bar{X}$ be a compact Kähler manifold, $D \subset \bar{X}$ a divisor with normal crossings, and $X = \bar{X} - D$. A second Kähler compactification of X which dominates $\bar{X}$ will be called an *enlargement* of $\bar{X}$.

Theorem 1.1. *If the mixed Hodge structure on $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is pure then for each pair of integers $k, m \geq 0$ $\Sigma^k_m(X)$ is of exponential Hodge type.*

Proof. We use the criterion of chapter II corollary 2.5. Corollary 2.5 of chapter I implies that $\Sigma^k(X)$ is Zariski closed. If $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is pure then either it has weight one (so that $H^{11} = 0$) or weight two (so that $H^{10} = 0$). The stability follows from corollaries 2.2 and 2.5 of chapter IV.

Remark 1. *A sufficient condition for $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ to be pure of weight one is that X has a possibly singular compactification whose boundary has codimension 2 or more. $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is pure of weight two if $H^1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{C}) = 0$.*

Remark 2. *By the same sort of argument as above, $\Sigma^k_m(X) \cap H^1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ is of exponential Hodge type.*

Corollary 1.2. *If either $X = \bar{X}$ or $H^1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ then for each integer $k \geq 0$, there exists a finite number of unitary characters $\rho_i \in H^1(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$, and holomorphic maps into complex tori $f_i \colon X \to T_i$ such that*

$$\Sigma^k_m(X) = \bigcup_i \rho_i f_i^* H^1(T_i, \mathbb{C}^*).$$

Proof. $\Sigma^k_m(X)$ is expressible as a union of finitely many affine tori of the form $\exp(\Lambda_i \otimes \mathbb{C} + r_i)$ where $\Lambda_i \subseteq H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is a sub 1-Hodge structure, and $r_i \in \Lambda_i \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\rho_i = \exp(r_i)$, $T_i = J(\Lambda_i)$ and f_i be the composition of the Abel-Jacobi map with the projection $Alb(X) \to T_i$.

By a curve, we will mean a compact complex curve with finitely many points removed. Let us call a holomorphic map $f: X \to C$ to a smooth curve *admissible* if it is surjective, and there is an enlargement $\pi: \hat{X} \to X$ such that f extends to a holomorphic map $\hat{f}: \hat{X} \to \bar{C}$ with connected fibers onto a smooth compactification $\bar{C}$ of C. We will need the following generalization of the Beauville-Castelnuovo-De Franchis lemma [B2, 2.1].

Proposition 1.3. *Let $\bar{L}$ be the Deligne extension of a unitary flat line bundle $L = O_X \otimes \mathbb{C}_\psi$ on X and $\theta \in H^0(\Omega^1_{\bar{X}}(\log D))$. Suppose that the sequence*

$$H^0(\bar{X}, \bar{L}) \xrightarrow{\theta} H^0(\bar{X}, \Omega^1_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}) \xrightarrow{\theta} H^0(\bar{X}, \Omega^2_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L})$$

is not exact. Then there exists an admissible holomorphic map $f: X \to C$ onto a nonsimply connected smooth curve such that $\psi \in \rho f^* H^1(C, \mathbb{C}^*)$, where ρ is torsion. After enlarging $\bar{X}$ so that f extends to $\bar{f}: \bar{X} \to \bar{C}$, we also have $\theta \in \bar{f}^* H^0(\bar{C}, \Omega^1_{\bar{C}}(\bar{C} - C))$.

Proof. The argument is very similar to the proof of [B2, 2.1]. By hypothesis, there is a nonzero form $\alpha \in H^0(\bar{X}, \Omega^1_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L})$ such that $\theta \wedge \alpha = 0$; if $\bar{L} = O_{\bar{X}}$ then α can be chosen to not be a multiple of θ. The relation $\theta \wedge \alpha = 0$ implies that there exists a meromorphic section β of $\bar{L}$ such that $\alpha = \theta \otimes \beta$. By theorem 1.3 of chapter I, $\bar{\nabla}\alpha = 0$ and $d\theta = 0$, where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the unitary connection on $\bar{L}$. Hence $\theta \wedge \bar{\nabla}\beta = 0$. This implies the existence of a meromorphic function g on $\bar{X}$ such that $\bar{\nabla}\beta = g\theta \otimes \beta$. Consequently

$$dg \wedge \theta \otimes \beta = dg \wedge \theta \otimes \beta + g\theta \wedge \bar{\nabla}\beta = \bar{\nabla}^2 \beta = 0.$$

Which implies $dg \wedge \theta = 0$.

Suppose that g were constant. Then $\alpha = \bar{\nabla}(\beta/g)$. Since α is holomorphic, β/g must holomorphic as well. This would imply that its derivative α must vanish (by 1.3 of chapter I); this contradicts the hypothesis. Therefore g is a nonconstant meromorphic map $\bar{X} - - > \mathbb{P}^1$. Hironaka's theorem implies that there is a nonsingular blow up $\pi: \hat{X} \to \bar{X}$ such that $g \circ \pi$ extends to a holomorphic map $\hat{g}: \hat{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1$, and such that $\hat{D} = \pi^{-1} D$ is a divisor with normal crossings. Let $\hat{X} \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} \bar{C} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the Stein factorization of $\hat{g}$. The relation $dg \wedge \theta = 0$ implies that $\hat{\theta} = \pi^* \theta$ vanishes along the general fibers of $\hat{f}$. Therefore $\hat{\theta}$ is the pullback of a meromorphic differential form from $\bar{C}$ which must necessarily have logarithmic singularities. So there exists a divisor $E \subset \bar{C}$, with $\hat{f}^{-1} E \subseteq \hat{D}$, and a form $\theta' \in H^0(\bar{C}, \Omega^1_{\bar{C}}(logE))$ such that $\hat{\theta} = \hat{f}^* \theta'$. The curve $C = \bar{C} - E$ is not simply connected because it carries nonzero logarithmic 1-form θ'. If C is compact then it has positive genus, and in this case the fibers of π map trivially to C, thus we may as well have taken $\hat{X} = \bar{X}$. In general, $\hat{f}(\pi^{-1}(X)) \subseteq C$ and we can assume equality holds after enlarging E. So when C is not compact, the fibers of the proper map $\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(X)}$ must map to points in C. Therefore $\hat{f}|_{\pi^{-1}(X)}$ descends to a holomorphic map $f: X \to C$. Hence we can assume that $\hat{X}$ is an enlargement of $\bar{X}$, and we now replace $\bar{X}$ by $\hat{X}$ and θ by $\hat{\theta}$.

Finally we have to show that $\psi \in \rho f^* H^1(C,\mathbb{C}^*)$ with ρ a torsion character. Let F be the general fiber of $\hat{f}^{-1}(C) \to C$. Then $im[H_1(F,\mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(X,\mathbb{Z})]$ has finite index in $ker[H_1(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(C,\mathbb{Z})]$ by [Se,1.3]. Therefore $ker[H^1(X,\mathbb{C}^*) \to H^1(F,\mathbb{C}^*)]$ is a product of $f^*H^1(C,\mathbb{C}^*)$ and a torsion subgroup. Thus it suffices to show that the restriction of ψ to F is trivial. As α is nonzero, it will not vanish identically along a general fiber F. Furthermore $\theta = \hat{f}^*\theta'$ will not have any zeros along F. Thus the relation $\alpha = \theta \otimes \beta$, implies that β is nonzero and holomorphic along F. Consequently

$$H^0(F \cap X, \mathbb{C}_\psi) \cong H^0(F, \bar{L}|_F) \neq 0,$$

which forces $\psi|_F$ to be trivial.

Proposition 1.4. *If $\xi \in \Sigma^1(X)$ is nonunitary, then there is an admissible map $f\colon X \to C$ onto a nonsimply connected curve and a torsion character ρ such that $\xi \in \rho f^* H^1(C,\mathbb{C}^*)$.*

Proof. Let N be the union of components about which ξ has nontrivial monodromy. After replacing X by $\bar{X} - N$, we can assume that ξ has nontrivial local monodromy about all components of D. As explained in chapter II,

$$H^1(X,\mathbb{C}) = (H^{10}(X) \oplus H^{11}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)) \oplus \sqrt{-1}(H^{11}_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \oplus H^1(X,\mathbb{R})).$$

The first summand is the subspace of $H^0(\bar{X}, \Omega^1_{\bar{X}}(\log D))$ consisting of forms with purely imaginary residues. Therefore ξ can be decomposed as a product $\xi = \exp(\theta' + \phi + r)$, with $\theta' \in H^{10} + H^{11}_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\phi \in \sqrt{-1}H^{11}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $r \in \sqrt{-1}H^1(X,\mathbb{R})$. After replacing $\phi + r$ with translate by an element of $H^1(X,\mathbb{Z}(1)) = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}H^1(X,\mathbb{Z})$ we can assume that all the residues of ϕ are nontrivial. Set $\theta = \theta' + \epsilon\phi$ and $\psi = \exp((1-\epsilon)\phi + r)$. For suitable real ϵ, we can assume that θ is a nonzero element of $H^0(\bar{X}, \Omega^1_{\bar{X}}(\log D))$ with nonintegral residues, and ψ is a unitary character with nontrivial monodromy about all components of D.

Let $L = O_X \otimes \mathbb{C}_\psi$ with flat unitary connection ∇, and let $(\bar{L}, \bar{\nabla})$ denote the Deligne extension to $\bar{X}$. The monodromy of the flat connection $\nabla - \theta$ is ξ by lemma 1.1 of chapter I. Therefore by proposition 1.2 of chapter I,

$$\mathbb{H}^1(\bar{X}, \Omega^\bullet_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}; \bar{\nabla} + \theta) \cong H^1(X, \mathbb{C}_\xi) \neq 0.$$

There is a spectral sequence converging to the left hand side with

$$E_1^{pq} = H^q(\bar{X}, \Omega^p_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L})$$

with differential d_1 induced by $\theta\wedge$ (because $\bar{\nabla}$ acts trivially on E_1). Therefore either $E_2^{10} \neq 0$ or $E_2^{01} \neq 0$. The condition $E_2^{10} \neq 0$ is exactly the hypothesis of proposition 1.3, thus after enlarging $\bar{X}$ there is an admissible map $f\colon X \to C$ and a torsion character ρ such that $\psi \in \rho f^*H^1(C,\mathbb{C}^*)$ and $\theta \in f^*H^0(\bar{C}, \Omega^1_{\bar{C}}(\log f(D)))$. This implies that $\xi \in \rho f^* H^1(C,\mathbb{C}^*)$.

Now suppose that $E_2^{01} \neq 0$. This means that there is a nonzero class $\alpha \in H^1(\bar{X}, \bar{L})$ such that $\theta \wedge \alpha \neq 0$. By assumption (L, ∇) has nontrivial monodromy about the components of D. This implies

$$W_0(\Omega^p_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes L^{\pm 1}) = \Omega^p_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes L^{\pm 1}.$$

By results of Timmerscheidt [T1] (see also chapter IV, section 1), $H^q(\bar{X}, \Omega^p_{\bar{X}}(\log D)\otimes \bar{L})$ is isomorphic to the space of harmonic (p,q) forms on X satisfying L^2 growth conditions with respect to a suitable complete finite volume Kähler metric on X. Therefore $\bar{\alpha} \in H^0(\bar{X}, \Omega^1_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}^{-1})$ and $\theta \wedge \bar{\alpha} \in H^0(\bar{X}, \Omega^1_{\bar{X}}(\log D) \otimes \bar{L}^{-1})$ are L^2 forms. Let ω be the Kähler form, then the norm

$$||\theta \wedge \bar{\alpha}||^2 = \int_X \theta \wedge \bar{\alpha} \wedge \bar{\theta} \wedge \alpha \wedge \omega^{dimX-2} = 0$$

Thus $\theta \wedge \bar{\alpha} = 0$. Furthermore when $\bar{L} = O_{\bar{X}}$ then $\alpha \neq c\bar{\theta}$ with $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$, since otherwise the norm

$$||\theta||^2 = \int_X \theta \wedge \bar{\theta} \wedge \omega^{dimX-1} = 0.$$

Therefore proposition 1.3 again shows that after enlarging $\bar{X}$ there is an admissible map $f\colon X \to C$ and a torsion character ρ such that $\psi^{-1} \in \rho f^* H^1(C, \mathbb{C}^*)$ and $-\theta \in f^* H^0(\bar{C}, \Omega^1_{\bar{C}}(\log f(D)))$. Thus $\xi \in \rho^{-1} f^* H^1(C, \mathbb{C}^*)$.

Let us call two admissible maps $f\colon X \to C$ and $f'\colon X \to C'$ equivalent if there is an isomorphism $\sigma\colon C \to C'$ such that $f' = f \circ \sigma$.

Lemma 1.5. *The set $\mathcal{A}(X)$ of equivalence classes of admissible maps $f\colon X \to C$, with C nonsimply connected, is at most countable.*

Proof. Any such map $f\colon X \to C$ is determined by a surjective homomorphism $Alb(X) \to Alb(C)$, and there are only countably many such maps.

Theorem 1.6. *There exists a finite number of torsion characters $\rho_i \in H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$, unitary characters ρ'_j and admissible holomorphic maps onto smooth curves $f_i\colon X \to C_i$ such that*

$$\Sigma^1(X) = \bigcup_i \rho_i f_i^* H^1(C_i, \mathbb{C}^*) \cup \bigcup_j \rho_j$$

Proof. Let us write $H(f, \rho) = \rho f^* H^1(f(X), \mathbb{C}^*)$. Any isolated point of $\Sigma^1(X)$ is unitary by proposition 1.4. Now suppose that V is a positive dimensional irreducible component of $\Sigma^1(X)$. By proposition 1.4, V is contained in the union of $H(f, \rho)$ with $f \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ and $\rho \in H^1(X, \mathbb{C}^*)_{tors}$. As an irreducible variety cannot be a countable union of proper subvarieties, it follows that V is contained in one of the varieties $H(f, \rho)$. And we will show that they are equal. If $C = f(X)$ is $\mathbb{C}^*$, then $dim\, H^1(C, \mathbb{C}^*) = 1$ so that $V = H(f, \rho)$. If C is an elliptic curve, then $V \subseteq H(f, \rho) \subseteq H^1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ so V will be the exponential of the translate of nonzero sub Hodge structure of $H^1(C)$ by remark 2 above. Once again this forces $V = H(f, \rho)$. In all other cases the topological Euler characteristic of C is negative, therefore $H^1(C, \mathbb{C}_\xi) \neq 0$ for all $\xi \in H^1(C, \mathbb{C}^*)$. As the map $H^1(C, \mathbb{C}_\xi) \to H^1(X, \mathbb{C}_{f^*\xi})$ is injective, $H(f, 1) \subseteq \Sigma^1(X)$. Thus $V = H(f, \rho)$ when $\rho = 1$.

The remaining case when C has negative Euler characteristic and $\rho \neq 1$ is similar. We first “untwist” ρ. As ρ is torsion, it lifts to a class $\tilde{\rho} \in H^1(X, \mu_N)$ where $\mu_N \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ is the group of Nth roots of unity for some integer N. Let $p\colon Y \to X$ be a torser corresponding to $\tilde{\rho}$; it is an unramified cover with Galois group μ_N, such that $p^*\rho = 1$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \to Hom(\mu_N, \mathbb{C}^*) \to Hom(\pi_1(X), \mathbb{C}^*) \to Hom(\pi_1(Y), \mathbb{C}^*)$$

we deduce that ρ corresponds to a character of μ_N. $p_*\mathbb{C}_Y$ decomposes, under the natural μ_N action, into a sum of one dimensional local systems indexed by characters of μ_N. Therefore μ_N acts naturally on

$$H^1(Y, \mathbb{C}_{p^*\psi}) \cong H^1(X, p_*\mathbb{C}_Y \otimes \mathbb{C}_\psi)$$

for any $\psi \in H^1(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$, and $H^1(X, \mathbb{C}_{\rho\psi})$ is the ρth isotypic component of $H^1(Y, \mathbb{C}_{p^*\psi})$. Let $\dim_\rho$ denote the dimension of the ρth isotypic component of a μ_N-module. To prove the theorem, it will suffice to show that

$$dim_\rho H^1(Y, \mathbb{C}_{p^*f^*\xi}) \neq 0$$

for any $\xi \in H^1(C, \mathbb{C}^*)$. Let $Y \xrightarrow{g} B \xrightarrow{\pi} C$ be a "Stein factorization" of $f \circ p$, i.e. a Stein factorization of a fiberwise compactification. Then π is a (possibly branched) μ_N covering and g is μ_N equivariant. Choose finite triangulation $\Delta(C)$ of C, so that the branch points of π are among the vertices. Let $\Delta^i(C)$ represent the set of i-simplices. Lift $\Delta(C)$ to a μ_N invariant triangulation $\Delta(B)$ of B. Let $\xi \in H^1(C, \mathbb{C}^*)$ and let $S^\bullet$ be the simplicial cochain complex associated to $\Delta(B)$ with coefficients in the local system $\mathbb{C}_{\pi^*\xi}$. By additivity, the Euler characteristic

$$e_\rho = \sum_i (-1)^i dim_\rho H^i(B, \mathbb{C}_{\pi^*\xi}) = \sum_i dim_\rho S^i.$$

As μ_N acts freely on the edges and faces, $S^i$is a free $\mathbb{C}[\mu_N]$-module of rank $\#\Delta^i(C)$, for $i > 0$. S^0 is a direct sum

$$\oplus_{x \in \Delta_0(C)} \mathbb{C}[\mu_N/G_x]$$

where G_x is the isotropy group of an element of $\pi^{-1}(x)$. This implies that $dim_\rho(S^i) \leq \#\Delta^i(C)$ with equality when $i = 1, 2$. Therefore $e_\rho < 0$ which implies $dim_\rho H^1(B, \mathbb{C}_{\pi^*\xi}) \neq 0$. As $H^i(B, \mathbb{C}_{\pi^*\xi})$ is a μ_N-submodule of $H^1(Y, \mathbb{C}_{p^*f^*\xi})$, the theorem is proved.

It is now a straight forward exercise to generalize results concerning maps of compact Kähler to curves ([A], [B2], [C], [GL2]), to noncompact manifolds. We will say that a smooth curve is of *general type* if its topological Euler characteristic is negative. (This is equivalent to the ampleness of the log canonical bundle, so the terminology is consistent with standard usage.) For such a curve, $H^1(C, \mathbb{C}_\rho) \neq 0$ for all characters ρ. Given an integer b, let $N_b(X)$ be the cardinality of the set of equivalence classes of admissible maps to curves of general type with first betti number b.

Proposition 1.7. *If $f\colon X \to C$ is an admissible map to a curve of general type, then $f^*H^1(C, \mathbb{C}^*)$ is a component of $\Sigma^1(X)$. Conversely, any positive dimensional component of $\Sigma^1(X)$ containing 1 is of this form.*

Proof. Given $f\colon X \to C$ satisfying the above hypothesis, $f^*H^1(C, \mathbb{C}^*)$ is contained in a component of $\Sigma^1(X)$ of the form $g^*H^1(B, \mathbb{C}^*)$ for some admissible map $g\colon X \to B$. This implies that there is a factorization $Alb(X) \to Alb(B) \to Alb(C)$, and consequently a factorization $X \to B \to C$. As f has connected fibers, $B \cong C$.

Conversely, any positive dimensional component of $\Sigma^1(X)$ is given by $f^*H^1(C, \mathbb{C}^*)$ with $f\colon X \to C$ admissible. We have to show that C has general type. C is of not of general type if and only if it is an elliptic curve or $\mathbb{P}^1$ with at most 2 points removed. These examples will be ruled out by showing that $\Sigma^1(C)$ is infinite. It will suffice to prove that

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{C}_{f^*\rho}) \cong H^1(C, \mathbb{C}_\rho)$$

for infinitely many ρ, or equivalently (by the Leray spectral sequence) that $H^0(C, R^1f_*\mathbb{C}\otimes \mathbb{C}_\rho) = 0$ for infinitely many ρ. There is a Zariski open subset $j\colon U \hookrightarrow C$ such that $f^{-1}U \to U$ is a locally trivial fibration. We claim that the canonical map $R^1f_*\mathbb{C} \to j_*j^*R^1f_*\mathbb{C}$ is injective. The injectivity can be checked at stalks around points of $C - U$. Choose a small disk Δ centered $p \in C - U$, and let $q \in \Delta - \{p\}$. Then $(R^1f_*\mathbb{C})_p \cong H^1(f^{-1}(\Delta), \mathbb{C})$ and $(j_*j^*R^1f_*\mathbb{C})_p$ is isomorphic the monodromy invariant part of $H^1(f^{-1}(q), \mathbb{C})$. Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & H^1(f^{-1}(\Delta)) \arrow[r, "r"] \arrow[d, "i"'] & H^1(f^{-1}(q)) \arrow[d] \\
H^1(\Delta - \{p\}) \arrow[r] & H^1(f^{-1}(\Delta - \{p\})) \arrow[r] & H^1(f^{-1}(q))
\end{tikzcd}$$

Straightforward topological arguments show that bottom row is exact and i is injective. As f is surjective, we can find a continuous cross section $\sigma\colon \Delta \to f^{-1}(\Delta)$. The map from $H^1(f^{-1}(\Delta))$ to $H^1(\Delta - \{p\})$ factors through $H^1(\Delta)$. Thus the image of $H^1(f^{-1}(\Delta))$ in $H^1(f^{-1}(\Delta - \{p\}))$ is contained in $ker[\sigma|^*_{\Delta-\{p\}}]$. Therefore r is injective and this implies the claim. Therefore

$$H^0(C, R^1f_*\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{C}_\rho) = H^0(U, R^1f_*\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{C}_\rho).$$

We can regard the local system $j^*R^1f_*\mathbb{C}$ as a $\pi_1(U)$-module. Let $g_1, \ldots g_n$ be generators for $\pi_1(U)$. They act on the local system $j^*R^1f_*\mathbb{C}$ through linear transformations $T_1, \ldots T_n$. Then

$$H^0(U, R^1f_*\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{C}_\rho) \neq 0$$

only if $\rho(g_i)^{-1}$ are eigenvalues of T_i. Therefore the above group vanishes for almost all ρ.

Corollary 1.8. *The number $N_b(X)$ is finite and depends only on the fundamental group. More precisely, if Y is a Zariski open subset of a compact Kähler manifold with $\pi_1(Y) \cong \pi_1(X)$, then $N_b(Y) = N_b(X)$.*

Proof. The set

$$\Sigma^1(X) = \Sigma^1(\pi_1(X)) = \{\rho \in Hom(\pi_1(X), \mathbb{C}^*) | H^1(\pi_1(X), \mathbb{C}_\rho) \neq 0\}$$

clearly depends only on $\pi_1(X)$, and $N_b(X)$ is just the number of b dimensional components containing 1.

Corollary 1.9. *X possesses an admissible map on a smooth curve of general type if and only if $\pi_1(X)$ surjects homomorphically onto a nonabelian free group.*

Proof. The fundamental group of curve of general type is either a nonabelian free group or is isomorphic to

$$< a_1, \ldots a_g, b_1, \ldots b_g | [a_1, b_1] \ldots [a_g, b_g] = 1 >$$

with $g \geq 2$. The latter group surjects onto the free generated by $a_1, \dots a_g$. This proves one direction.

Conversely, if $\pi_1(X)$ surjects onto a nonabelian free group F then $\Sigma^1(X) \supseteq \Sigma^1(F)$. Therefore $\Sigma^1(X)$ has an infinite component containing 1.

Let $G' = [G, G]$ denote the commutator subgroup of a group G. Recall that the first homology of G with coefficients in the trivial G-module $\mathbb{C}$ is $H_1(G, \mathbb{C}) \cong G/G' \otimes \mathbb{C}$

Corollary 1.10. *If X possesses an admissible map to curve of general type then $dim H_1(\pi_1(X)', \mathbb{C}) = \infty$. If $dim H_1(\pi_1(X)', \mathbb{C}) = \infty$ then there is a finite unramified abelian covering $Y \to X$, such that Y possesses an admissible map to a curve of general type.*

Proof. Let $M = H_1(\pi_1(X)', \mathbb{C})$ and $\Lambda = H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \pi_1(X)/\pi_1(X)'$. M has a natural Λ action coming form the group extension

$$1 \to \pi_1(X)' \to \pi_1(X) \to \Lambda \to 0.$$

In fact, M is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ module; thus it determines a coherent algebraic sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on the character variety $H^1(X, \mathbb{C}^*) = spec\mathbb{C}[\Lambda](\mathbb{C})$ such that $M = H^0(\mathcal{M})$. Using the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, one can show that $H^1(X, \mathbb{C}_\rho) \cong Hom_\Lambda(M, \mathbb{C}_\rho)$ when $\rho \neq 1$ (see [A1 pp. 541-542] or [B2, pp. 12-13]). Therefore

$$\Sigma^1(X) = supp\mathcal{M} \cup \{1\}$$

when X has nonzero first betti number. Thus $\Sigma^1(X)$ is infinite if and only if $dimM = \infty$. $\Sigma^1(X)$ is infinite if X maps onto a curve of general type. On the other hand, by theorem 1.6, if $\Sigma^1(X)$ is infinite then there is an abelian cover of $Y \to X$ which has an infinite component containing 1. Therefore Y possesses an admissible map onto a curve of general type.

REFERENCES

[A1] D. Arapura, *Hodge theory with local coefficients on compact varieties*, Duke Math. J **61** (1990), 531-543.

[A] ———, *Higgs line bundles, Green-Lazarsfeld sets and maps of Kähler manifolds to curves*, Bull. AMS (1992), 310-314.

[B1] A. Beauville, *Annulation du H^1 et systémes paracanoniques sur les surfaces*, J. reine angew. Math. **388** (1988), 149-157.

[B2] ———, *Lect. Notes in Math. 1507*, Springer-Verlag, 1992, pp. 1-15.

[C] F. Catanese, *Moduli and classication of irregular Kaehler manifolds (and algebraic varieties) with Albanese general type fibrations*, Inv. Math. **104** (1991), 263-289.

[D1] P. Deligne, *Equation differentielles a point singular regulier , Lect. Notes in Math. 163,*, Springer-Verlag, 1969.

[D] ———, *Theorie de Hodge II, III*, Publ. IHES 40,44 (1972, 1974,), 5-57, 6-77.

[G] R. Godement, *Topologie algébrique et théorie de faiseux*, Hermman, 1958.

[GL1] M. Green, R. Lazarsfeld,, *Deformation theory, generic vanishing theorems and some conjectures of Enriques, Catanese and Beauville*, Inv. Math. **90** (1987), 389-407.

[GL2] ———, *Higher obstructions to deforming cohomology groups of line bundles*, J. A.M.S. **4** (1991 pages 87-103).

[LM] A. Lubotzky, A. Magid, *Varieties of representations of finitely generated groups*, Mem. AMS 336 (1985).

[MF] D. Mumford, J. Forgarty, *Geometric invariant theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1980.

[N] V. Navaro Aznar, *Sur le theorie de Hodge-Deligne*, Inv. Math. **90** (1987), 11-76.

[Sa1] M. Saito, *Mixed Hodge modules*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **26** (1990), 221–333.

[Sa2] ______, *Mixed Hodge modules and Admissible variations*, CR Acad. **309** (1989), 351-356.

[Se] F. Serrano, *Multiple fibers of a morphism*, Comm. Math. Helv. **65** (1990), 287-298.

[S1] C. Simpson, *Higgs bundles and local systems*, Publ. IHES **75** (1992), 5-95.

[S2] ______, *Subspaces of moduli spaces of rank one local systems*, Anal. ENS **26** (1993), 361-401.

[S3] ______, *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a projective variety II*, Publ. IHES **80** (1994), 5-79.

[T1] K. Timmerscheidt, *Hodge theory for unitary local systems*, Inv. Math. **86** (1986), 189-194.

[T] ______, *Mixed Hodge structures associated to unitary local systems*, J. reine angew. Math. **379** (1987), 152-171.

[Z] S. Zucker, *Hodge theory with degenerating coefficients*, Ann. Math. **109** (1979), 415-476.

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907
E-mail address: `dvb@math.purdue.edu`